On Saturday, 22 June 1844, the Prophet Joseph Smith Jr. conversed with his younger sister, Katharine Smith Salisbury, who had come to the city of Nauvoo for an extended visit. As her stay came to a close, Joseph expressed his desire to visit Katharine at her home in Plymouth, Illinois, just as soon as his present legal difficulties were resolved. The prearranged visit never took place. Five days following their conversation, Katharine’s two eldest surviving brothers, Hyrum and Joseph, were murdered by a mob while incarcerated in Carthage Jail. Katharine never forgot that final meeting with her brother for as long as she lived.

Katharine Smith Salisbury was born 28 July 1813 at West Lebanon, Grafton County, New Hampshire, the seventh surviving child and second daughter of Joseph Smith Sr. and Lucy Mack Smith, born during trying family times. In the months preceding her birth, illness was rampant in the Smith home. While pregnant with Katharine, Mother Smith labored diligently for three months over her eldest daughter, Sophronia, who was severely ill with typhoid fever. Following this difficult ordeal, Lucy’s five remaining children contracted the dreaded disease, culminating in Joseph Jr.’s painful leg operation. By the time Katharine was born in midsummer, the family was physically exhausted and financially drained.
Palmyra-Manchester, New York

Only a toddler when the family uprooted from Vermont and made the trek to western New York, Katharine spent her developing years in the Palmyra-Manchester, New York, area. Katharine was six in the spring of 1820 at the time of the First Vision and ten at the time of Moroni's initial visit in 1823. By the time her elder brother received the plates from Moroni, Katharine became intensely involved with many early Restoration events. The incidents witnessed during her teenage years left an indelible impression on her youthful mind.5

The family atmosphere was filled with both hypervigilance and anticipation during the four years between the first visit of the Angel Moroni (September 1823) and Joseph's receiving the plates (September 1827). The hypervigilance was the result of Moroni's warnings to Joseph, which included a strict charge to keep the Book of Mormon plates safe from outsiders who, once the possible existence of the plates was known, would desire them for baser motives, and a warning to the family that if they did not keep the plates a secret, their very lives would be in peril.6 Katharine remembered this time period as one in which the home environment became filled with watchfulness.7 However, these anxious feelings for the safety of the family were tempered by an air of excitement as the family unitedly prepared to receive the promised record. Katharine, like other family members, fully expected to see and handle the plates once her older brother brought them home.8

Moroni's warnings were realized shortly after Joseph received the plates from him on 22 September 1827 at the Hill Cumorah. As Joseph brought the record home, Katharine remembered her elder brother resisting three separate attacks by men who desired the plates. Joseph, who arrived at the house exhausted, thrust the heavy, frock-covered plates into Katharine's arms. The fourteen-year-old sister hurriedly took the plates and laid them on a nearby table and then assisted her injured brother. Katharine indicated that Joseph then fainted from overexertion, and she observed injuries to his right hand, thumb, and arm. She proceeded to help revive her brother until he began breathing properly, and she then doctored his bruised knuckles—an injury that had occurred when he struck one or more of his assailants.9

From this time forward, outsiders intensified their efforts to wrest the plates from Joseph's care. The family banded together in resisting such attempts to ensure the safety of the record. Katharine recollected: "We got a chest and locked the records up in the house. From that time on our house was searched all around; and our field and our wheat stacks were searched. The mob was around our house nearly every night, and one night they went
into father’s cooper shop and tore up his floor and dug the earth up. And from that time until we went to Pennsylvania we had to keep watch for the enemy."

The three months following Joseph’s retrieval of the plates were no doubt filled with opposition, but the likelihood of a mob being around the house “nearly every night” is questionable. Yet the fact that Katharine remembered it that way is significant in that it reveals her personal sentiment regarding that time period. Encounters with mobocracy likely traumatized a young frontier woman. The strength to tolerate inordinate hardship developed during these formative New York years. It was, for Katharine (as well as for the rest of the family), a constant struggle to fulfill the purposes of God while safeguarding fellow family members. However, in that struggle lay the foundation of Katharine’s strength. She interpreted such opposition and accompanying suffering as a “medium” through which God’s purposes could be fulfilled. Additionally, the experiences provided a sense of purpose and an explanation for the constant suffering that would singularly mark her prolonged life.

Not only did she develop emotional strength through these trying times but also she matured physically as well. Farm life in rural upstate New York centered in mastering basic survival skills; and from a young age, Katharine performed many of these everyday household tasks. Physically stronger than her older sister Sophronia, whose constitution was fragile, Katharine was capable of assisting her mother with many of the chores assumed by adult women of her day. As Katharine matured, her workload correspondingly increased.

As a young woman, Katharine hauled wood for the fireplace, milked the family cow, and herded sheep. As she grew, she learned how to operate a spinning wheel and manufactured cloth that was turned into clothing items or bedding. She also spent time washing clothes, cooking, and assisting in meal preparation. In the nineteenth-century rural home, cooking included the ability to manage and regulate the fireplace, which descendants remembered Katharine as handling with “masterly skill.” Additionally, Mother Smith instructed her girls in baking bread and churning butter. Visitors to the Smith household attested to the proficiency with which Lucy and her daughters executed family meals. Besides these routine chores, Katharine took upon herself the responsibility of caring for her younger sister, Lucy, creating a bond between the two sisters that endured for the remainder of their lives.

Still, Katharine’s late teenage years were marked by events connected with the Restoration. In the intervening months following Joseph’s retrieval of the plates, Katharine continued to have many difficult experiences. On
one such occasion, before Joseph’s departure for Pennsylvania, Katharine
and her older sister, Sophronia, helped protect the record from a mob deter-
mined to obtain “Joe Smith’s gold plates.” Mary Salisbury Hancock,
Katharine’s granddaughter, remembered Katharine relating an instance
when Joseph was chased by a mob while he had the plates in his possession.
Hancock recounted: “Hearing an unusual commotion outside Catherine
flew to the door and threw it open just as Joseph came rushing up, panting
for breath. He thrust a bundle into her arms, and in a gasping voice whis-
pered hoarsely, ‘Take these quickly and hide them,’ then he disappeared into
the darkness. Closing the door Catherine ran hurriedly to the bedroom
where she and Sophronia slept. Sophronia threw back the bedding and
Catherine put the bundle on the bed, quickly replacing the bedding. Both of
them lay down on the bed and pretended sleep. The mob, failing to find
Joseph outside, returned to the house to search, but they did not disturb the
girls since they appeared to be sleeping.”

Hancock further remembered that these two sisters saw it as their
responsibility as members of a chosen family to be constantly vigilant of
their brother’s safety. Although this attitude distanced them from neigh-
bors, a trusted few outsiders were permitted into the Smith family circle.
Katharine felt confident enough in one playmate, Caroline Rockwell, to
confide in her the reality of the circulating rumors regarding the plates. She
showed Caroline the locked chest where the plates were kept and revealed
to her a place where the plates had been hidden. It was comforting to
Katharine to have at least one confidante as the family became increasingly
ostracized from the community at large.

Katharine received further solace in knowing of the reality of the exis-
tence of the plates. For her, there was no question of the truthfulness of her
brother’s claims. Although she would be disappointed in not being allowed
to view the uncovered plates as anticipated, her convictions were strength-
ened by being able to heft them on at least three different occasions.
Katharine’s grandson, Herbert S. Salisbury, remembered his grandmother
telling of one such instance: “[Katharine] told me that while dusting up the
room where the Prophet had his study she saw a package on the table con-
taining the gold plates on which was engraved the story of the Book of
Mormon. She said she hefted those plates and found them very heavy like
gold and also rippled her fingers up the edge of the plates and felt that they
were separate metal plates and heard the tinkle of sound that they made.”

Along with the rest of the Smith family, Katharine wholeheartedly
accepted her brother’s testimony and prophetic role, and she testified of
them throughout her life. The strength of her convictions fortified
Katharine through persecution, which did not diminish when her brother
removed to Pennsylvania in late 1827. Harassment continued in the form of local ministers, neighbors who were denied a view of the plates, lawsuits, copyright infringement, and creditors who uncharacteristically demanded immediate payment. Such difficulties, combined with the family’s limited living space and lack of financial means, may have led Katharine to leave the immediate Palmyra vicinity early in 1829. Evidence suggests that she traveled to nearby Farmington Township and began teaching school. Because family circumstances were more settled during Katharine’s teen years, she was able to attend school with the younger Smith children. These educational opportunities, frequently denied older Smith siblings, would have prepared Katharine to launch out on her own and teach school in the surrounding area.

Although she may have lived intermittently at the family residence in Palmyra-Manchester, Katharine does not appear to have returned home again permanently until early October 1830. The family’s final days in the neighborhood were some of their most difficult. Just before Katharine’s return home, Father Smith had been jailed for failure to pay a debt, leaving the family unprotected during a difficult time when the threat of violence persisted. While the male members of the family were absent, the Smiths experienced perhaps their worst encounter with a mob to date. Katharine remembered: “A few days after he [Hyrum] was gone, a number of men, came and searched our house for him. Mother, myself and younger sister [Lucy] were the only ones at home. . . . They had come in carriages with dark lanterns, and if they had found Hyrum it was their intention to have him put to death. . . . When we insisted that he was not there, their anger turned upon us and they commenced to rob the house. While they were plundering us, my brother, William, came. . . . Upon coming in he asked mother, ‘What were those men doing?’ She told him they had come for Hyrum and were now plundering the house. Arming himself with a stout club, he soon drove them from the house.’”

Shortly after this episode with mobocracy, Samuel H. Smith moved the family to a more peaceful area called the “Kingdom,” near Fayette, New York, which served as a temporary respite from the family’s previous hardships. Katharine, who migrated with her parents to the locale, remembered cottage meetings held in the area, led by her elder brothers or members of the Whitmer family. She looked back on these gatherings with fondness, having enjoyed the newfound associations with recent converts and curious neighbors alike.

It was also in this area where Katharine became acquainted with a new convert named Wilkins Jenkins Salisbury, who had grown up in nearby Rushville, New York. Jenkins, as he was called, the youngest of nine chil-
dren, was dually trained as a lawyer and in the trade of blacksmithing. 29 Although details of his conversion remain unknown, by the time the Fayette Branch migrated to Ohio, he had united with the company. 30 The Fayette Branch, of which Jenkins and Katharine were a part, was led by Katharine’s mother, Lucy Mack Smith. The group experienced trying hardships and miraculous incidents en route to the gathering place, eventually arriving at Fairport, Ohio, on or about 12 May 1831. 31

Ohio, Marriage, and a New Beginning

Once Katharine was in Kirtland, her life changed considerably. Family tradition holds that she had been engaged to Jenkins while still residing in New York, which would explain why their marriage took place so soon after their arrival in Ohio. 32 On 8 June 1831, the couple were married by Sidney Rigdon at the home of Katharine’s elder sister, Sophronia. 33 The newlyweds chose to settle near Sophronia and her husband, Calvin Stoddard, thereafter residing at their own residence in the city of Chardon, Ohio. 34

Although Katharine’s marriage to Jenkins appears to have begun under favorable circumstances, within a few years, Jenkins’ commitment to the Church and to his wife became erratic. On 12 March 1833, Jenkins was called to accompany Truman Wait on a mission to the East and was ordained an elder by Hyrum Smith. Before the year was out, Jenkins had returned to Kirtland, where concerns regarding his behavior had already begun to surface. On 27 December 1833, a bishop’s court was held to investigate complaints made against Salisbury. However, because the accusers did not show up at the trial, Jenkins’ case was dismissed. 35 Nevertheless, evidence suggests that Jenkins’ actions had already come into question by this early date.

Whatever his difficulties, Jenkins enlisted in Zion’s Camp in the spring of 1834 and marched with his brothers-in-law, Hyrum, Joseph, and William Smith. 36 But his reputation on the journey was not above reproach. George A. Smith recalled: “During the day being very much fatigued with carrying my musket I put it into the baggage wagon. . . . When I arrived in the evening my gun could not be found . . . [and] Jenkins Salisbury took the most pleasure in ridiculing me for my carelessness. I afterwards learned on passing that way that my gun was pawned for whisky by one of our company, and have always believed that Jenkins Salisbury . . . disposed of it in that way.” 37

This supposition was not entirely unfounded. Salisbury himself later confessed to his propensity to drink hard liquor, and he very well may have been an alcoholic, as evidenced by his unpredictable and temperamental behavior. 38 This is further substantiated by Church records indicating that after his return from Zion’s Camp, Jenkins was expelled from the Church for
intemperance.39 Near the end of that year, Joseph Smith Sr. revealed the family sentiment toward Jenkins’ conduct. He told Katharine, “My heart mourns for thee in consequence of the transgression of thy husband; . . . my soul is grieved that he should suffer himself to be led away from his holy calling.” Father Smith went so far as to confront his son-in-law regarding his actions, encouraging him to repent so he might be restored to his former station.40 Jenkins appears to have responded to his father-in-law’s invitation, making an attempt to reform his life and presumably having his membership reinstated in the early part of 1835. He was present when the Quorum of the Twelve was first chosen on 14 February 1835, and two weeks later he was called as a member of the First Quorum of the Seventy.41

Jenkins’ attempt at reform was short-lived. His behavior finally collided with Church leadership in the spring of 1836 when Oliver Cowdery brought an ecclesiastical charge of “unchristianlike conduct” against him. Joseph and Hyrum Smith both testified at Salisbury’s trial before the Kirtland High Council, held 16 May 1836. For the Smith brothers, their concerns centered in his treatment of their sister, Katharine, and his family neglect. Joseph and Hyrum indicated that Jenkins had left his family in a destitute condition, “without wood . . . and no provision of any consequence in the house.” The brothers further testified that Jenkins had abandoned his family two months earlier, never intending to return. Other witnesses accused Salisbury of using “strong liquor” and of being intimate with other women.42

In his defense, Jenkins denied being unfaithful to Katharine, but he confessed to drinking strong liquor and speaking ill of the Prophet.43 Salisbury’s confession was not satisfactory, so he was stripped of his elder’s license and excommunicated from the Church—until he made a “thorough reformation.”44 The required changes were not forthcoming, however; records indicate that the following year Jenkins was replaced in the First Quorum of the
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Seventy by Reuben McBride and listed as an apostate.\textsuperscript{45} For the next ten years, Jenkins kept himself at a distance from Mormonism, entirely forsaking Christianity and becoming an agnostic.\textsuperscript{46}

Her husband’s inconsistent behavior and eventual disbelief in Christianity, something she highly valued, were challenging for Katharine. Because of her husband’s instability, as well as her having to uproot on several occasions, poverty plagued the Salisbury family most of their lives. Katharine frequently found herself alone, striving to raise a growing family. Now, with her husband having forsaken their once-shared value system, she was alone in more ways than one. Her difficulties were compounded by the fact that she frequently lived outside Church headquarters, where the rest of the Smith family normally resided. Thus, during difficult times, Katharine’s familial support system was limited.

In spite of Jenkins’ unsteadiness, Katharine still managed to find meaning in her life during the years she resided in Ohio. She found much-needed comfort in her attempt to connect with family and God during these trying years. For Latter-day Saints during the mid-1830s, service to God centered on building the Kirtland Temple, and Katharine put forth much effort toward its completion. In the midst of temple construction, Katharine’s father oversaw women’s work contributions, including the making of carpets and curtains for the temple.\textsuperscript{47} Katharine and her sister Sophronia assisted in these tasks, as well as in producing clothing items for the male temple workers. The sisters accomplished this service by forming weaving clubs, where spinning, knitting, and carding wool were performed. Even the birth of two children (Lucy in 1834 and Solomon in 1835) did not keep Katharine away long from the constant work required for the temple’s construction.\textsuperscript{48}

Katharine’s Church service was not limited to duties connected with temple building. Besides serving alongside Sophronia, Katharine appears to have spent considerable time at her parents’ home in Kirtland, which had become a weigh station of sorts for missionaries and converts who were constantly moving in and out of Kirtland. Katharine recalled, “When we lived in Kirtland . . . my mother and myself spent our whole time in waiting upon the comers and goers in cooking and washing.” She further remembered that this labor was so taxing that it felt like “[our] tired limbs were about to fail us.”\textsuperscript{49} For Katharine, like her mother, being a member of a privileged family meant a call to sacrifice personal comforts for the greater good of building the kingdom of God.

Engaging in such Church work, dealing with an inconstant husband, and raising two young children were challenging experiences for the young wife and mother. Katharine’s physical stature certainly helped her maintain such a load. She was reportedly six inches taller than the average woman of
her day and was capable of performing the work of most men.\textsuperscript{50} Her physical strength was evident when the Smith clan, including the Salisburyys, uprooted from Ohio and traveled to Far West, Missouri, in 1838. At the time of the exodus, Katharine was in the late stages of pregnancy. The journey was extremely difficult, and Mother Smith recorded that they had slept without shelter in the pouring rain—unable to change their wet clothes for three successive days. After crossing the Mississippi River into Missouri, Katharine gave birth in an abandoned hut to a son she named for her deceased brother Alvin. The day following his birth, Katharine and the infant traveled four miles in a lumber wagon and, in the following days, rode forty miles to catch up with the rest of the company.\textsuperscript{51} Like many of her Smith siblings, Katharine’s sturdy build assisted her in dealing with the rigors of frontier life.

Once the family members finally reached Far West, they lived together in a small, single-room log cabin. Joseph Smith Jr., seeing the cramped quarters, moved them to a large tavern house where there would be more room for the multiple families.\textsuperscript{52} However, with the escalating hostilities between Mormons and Missourians, the family’s stay in Far West, Missouri, was brief. By early 1839, the extended Smith family was once again moving, this time backtracking east toward Illinois.

The migration from Missouri to Illinois in the winter of 1838–39 was a severe test for Katharine. In later years, she frequently gave over to emotion when describing the difficulties experienced in the migration. On reaching the Mississippi River, the family walked for miles in ankle-deep mud and slept in six-inch snow. After several days of waiting, the family was finally permitted to cross the river and complete the journey. Like her sister-in-law, Emma Hale Smith, Katharine carried her small children in her arms across the ice-bound river into Illinois.\textsuperscript{53}

Initially, Katharine was surrounded by part of her family, as she and her husband settled in the outlying settlement of Plymouth, Illinois. Brothers Samuel, William, and Don Carlos may have all lived in the Plymouth vicinity at one time or another. William had previously bought the Tadlock Hotel in the town of Plymouth and likely encouraged Jenkins to come to that area to practice his trade.\textsuperscript{54} There, Jenkins went to work as a blacksmith, as that occupation proved more profitable than practicing law in frontier Illinois. Katharine remembered, “Jenkins . . . and I removed to Plymouth, on the opposite side of Hancock County from Nauvoo, where he ran a blacksmith shop, and often received ‘coon’ skins and maple sugar in exchange for work at the anvil.”\textsuperscript{55}

As time went on, Katharine’s siblings soon gravitated toward Church headquarters at Nauvoo, leaving her further isolated from her Smith kin than she had ever before been. She longed to be closer to her family in
Nauvoo. Sensing Katharine’s feeling of loneliness, her elder brothers made sure they invited her to major events in Nauvoo.\footnote{56} She particularly enjoyed watching the Nauvoo Legion when they paraded through the city’s streets.\footnote{57} The holiday season was also memorable. Each year at Christmastime, Joseph and Emma invited the Salisburys to spend the holidays with them at their home on the banks of the Mississippi.\footnote{58} Katharine recalled of such visits, “Joseph, entertained us royally and gave me silk dresses and other valuable presents.”\footnote{59} She further remembered her brother’s kindness in supplying her family with food, clothing, and money as she left Nauvoo to return to her home in Plymouth.\footnote{60} Katharine and her children cherished such special care as they continued to struggle with the burden of poverty.

In January 1843, Joseph visited Katharine at her home in the village of Plymouth. While together, the two renewed their ties and reminisced about their deceased brother, Alvin. Willard Richards, who accompanied the Prophet, left a description of the Salisbury household: “While there, my heart was pained to see a sister of Joseph’s almost barefoot, and four lovely children entirely so, in the middle of a severe winter.”\footnote{61} It appears from Richards’ description that four years of settlement had done nothing to improve the Salisburys’ circumstances. Jenkins, noticeably absent from Richards’ account, appears to have been away from home at the time of the visit, which may have contributed to the family’s impoverished situation.

As the year 1843 wore on, the increasing difficulties between the Saints in Nauvoo and their neighbors spread to outlying settlements, including Plymouth. The Salisburys became an especial target of persecution as their family ties to the Prophet Joseph Smith became known to neighbors. The family began to hear threats of violence. In one instance, Jenkins opened the front door and found a note that read, “Get out or be burned out!” Fearing for his own life and hoping to obtain more consistent work, Jenkins left for St. Louis, Missouri, and had another Latter-day Saint family move in to care for Katharine and the children.\footnote{62} It was difficult for Katharine to care for and protect the children without the assistance of her mate during these precar-
ious times. Her great worry for the safety of her family increased her longing to be close to her loved ones in Nauvoo. To add to her difficulties, she was in the late stages of pregnancy, and on 25 March 1844, Katharine gave birth to a daughter, whom she named for her sister-in-law, Emma Hale Smith.63

Needing additional familial support, Katharine traveled to Nauvoo in mid-June. Here she spent a week or more with her family. She listened to Joseph’s final sermon to the Nauvoo Legion and became aware of the *Expositor* incident and the writ for Joseph’s arrest. It was during this visit that Joseph indicated he would visit Katharine following the resolution of his legal difficulties. As she prepared to return home, Hyrum bid her farewell with what Katharine described as “a deeper feeling than I had ever known him to entertain.”64 This was the last time she saw her two brothers alive.

**After the Martyrdom**

As she left her family in Nauvoo, Katharine felt fearful for her brothers’ safety and experienced a great sense of loneliness in being separated from her family. Her anxiety was compounded, as she was once again without her mate in attempting to safeguard her children.65 Rumors of Mormon families being “burned out” in neighboring towns close to her own increased her fears. The news of the martyrdom eventually reached Katharine the day following their murder, on 28 June 1844. In shock and disbelief, she left Plymouth in the cover of darkness, traveling quickly to Carthage, where the rumors of her brothers’ deaths were confirmed. She then accompanied the bodies of her deceased brothers from Carthage to Nauvoo, where she reunited with grief-stricken loved ones.66

In the meantime, Katharine had left her four children in the care of the family who had moved in when Jenkins had left for St. Louis. But fearing for their own safety, the family fled Plymouth, leaving Katharine’s children to fend for themselves. Having been abandoned by the neighbors:

Her little brood of hungry children crossed the street to a doctor’s house. Eight-year-old . . . [Solomon] carried his two-year-old brother, Don Carlos, in his arms, the others following. . . . The good woman then told them to come in . . . [and] spread some quilts on the floor and told them they could sleep there until morning. . . . When the doctor came in . . . [he] called to his wife, “Whose children are these?” She answered, “They are the little Mormon children from across the street; their mother has gone to Carthage where her brothers have been murdered, and she has not returned.” The doctor retorted, “Sure, and we will all be murdered if we keep them here.” . . . [The] next morning . . . [she] fed them again and sent them home saying, “Your mother will surely be back today.”67

This type of response would foreshadow neighbors’ treatment of the
Salisburys for decades to come, and hostilities indeed began just weeks following the martyrdom. Threats continued in the form of written notices tacked to the Salisburys’ door, forewarning them that if they did not leave, their property and their lives were in jeopardy. Jenkins, returning to the family a short time later, decided it would be unsafe for the family to continue to reside in Plymouth. To distance themselves from persecution, the family left their home in August of 1844, traveling southeast to Beardstown, Illinois. Here, on the banks of the Illinois River, the family built a log cabin, and Jenkins opened a blacksmith shop. However, the family did not stay in the area for long. Katharine’s eldest son Solomon recollected: “We soon found that we could not rest here in peace. In a short time it was noised around that my mother . . . was a sister to the Mormon prophet, Joseph Smith. What few settlers there were began notifying us that we better be moving along. They refused to let father do their work. We were very poor, and all depended on father’s work. They starved us out.”

By the spring of 1845, the family was destitute. Jenkins finally decided to take his family to Nauvoo. Here he left Katharine and the children, traveled back to St. Louis to find more consistent work, and sent money back to the family for their support. Katharine enjoyed being reunited with her mother, two sisters, and their families, as they all resided together in the William Marks home.

The year 1845 also marked a time of increased religiosity for Jenkins. He wrote a letter to the Nauvoo Neighbor in June, indicating that he had recently reconciled his skepticism, which had kept him at a distance from religion for the past ten years. He also resolved his differences with Church leadership. While in St. Louis, Jenkins wrote to Brigham Young, offering him legal knowledge to assist in the prosecution of those responsible for the murder of Joseph and Hyrum Smith. Later that summer, he wrote again to Brigham, encouraging him to consider California as a settling place for the Saints. His letter also indicated his newfound loyalty to the Church as he closed, “with feelings of most ardent Brotherly love br Young I Subscribe Myself your most loyal and obedient Servant.” Such expressions of loyalty would be tested in intervening months.

After the deaths of Hyrum, Joseph, and then Samuel Smith, the remaining Smith family members looked to William for direction. Mother Smith wrote to her son, “We look to you as the sole remaining, male support of your Fathers house.” Because of this prominent position in which he was placed, William Smith’s views strongly influenced surviving Smith family members. Yet, because of his spouse’s illness, William was delayed nearly a year before he returned to Nauvoo, eventually reaching the city on 4 May 1845. William’s arrival coincided with that of the Salisburys, who arrived in the
city a short time later.\textsuperscript{74}

William Smith and Jenkins Salisbury had always been close, and the two would share corresponding attitudes over the next few years.\textsuperscript{75} Both the Salisburys and the William Smith family had missed the transfer of leadership at Nauvoo in the summer of 1844. Notwithstanding, by the time the two families arrived in Nauvoo, they both expressed their confidence in Brigham Young as the recognized leader of the Church.\textsuperscript{76} However, over the next few months, William Smith became increasingly ostracized from his fellow members of the Quorum of the Twelve and became more vocal in his disagreements. William took exception to statements by fellow Apostles related to his calling as Church patriarch, and this eventually led to disagreements in other matters. In just a few months, William Smith had reversed his support of Brigham Young and the Twelve, and the Salisburys followed.\textsuperscript{77}

As William became increasingly outspoken in his opposition to Brigham Young’s leadership, Church leaders gradually viewed him as an apostate. Jenkins, whose support of William Smith was established and whose history of vacillating loyalty to the Church was well known, was also classified as an apostate. Because of increasing tensions during the year 1845, apostates were often warned out of the city by the Nauvoo police, and Smith and Salisbury were now no exception.\textsuperscript{78} The William Marks home, where the extended Smith family resided, became filled with worry for the safety of the two. Katharine also experienced a great deal of uneasiness regarding her own safety. These anxious feelings were heightened to such an extent that she would not leave the home for fear she might be mistaken for her husband or brother because of her height.\textsuperscript{79} William and Jenkins, feeling their lives were in danger, left the city under the cover of darkness in mid-September 1845. Katharine remembered, “We were considered by brigham apostates. . . . Myself and husband did not believe in brigham taking joseph'[s] place and they sought to take the life of my brother William and also my husband and they had to leave the city to save their lives.”\textsuperscript{80}

For William Smith, the blame for his being driven and deprived of his rightful office of patriarch over the Church rested squarely on the recognized leader of the Church at Nauvoo. The Salisburys, sharing this view, ever afterward carried resentments toward Brigham Young because of the incidents of the summer and fall of 1845. Both William Smith and Jenkins Salisbury did not leave the Church quietly, going public with their opposition to Brigham Young and the Twelve at Nauvoo. In October 1845, Smith published a scathing article on the entire front page of the \textit{Warsaw Signal}, accusing Young of tyranny and usurpation of the right to preside—even comparing him to Pontius Pilate.\textsuperscript{81} The following spring, Salisbury followed
Smith in sending his own letter to the *Warsaw Signal*, in which he portrayed Young as the organizer of a secret society whose intent was to plunder and murder the citizens of Hancock County, Illinois. The letter also expressed Salisbury's loyalty and support of William Smith as the legitimate successor of Joseph Smith.82

After their escape from Nauvoo, Smith and Salisbury eventually traveled to St. Louis, Missouri, where the two labored to expose the corruptions of those at Nauvoo and attempted to reorganize the Saints in the area under Smith’s leadership. Wanting to expand his influence, Smith left St. Louis for Cincinnati, Ohio, in November, leaving Salisbury in charge of supporters in St. Louis.83

Meanwhile, Katharine and the children continued to live at Nauvoo during the winter of 1845–46. As the temple was completed, the accompanying ordinances were performed with a sense of urgency. Mother Smith, Katharine’s sister, Sophronia and her husband, and the widows of Hyrum, Samuel, and Don Carlos all received their endowments. The Salisbury’s names are noticeably absent from temple records, likely because of their opposition to Brigham Young following their experiences the previous fall.84 However, Katharine maintained an interest in the temple her brother had envisioned and, upon its completion, brought her eldest son Solomon with her to tour the finished structure.85 Later that spring, Katharine and her children watched day after day as most Saints crossed the Mississippi River on their epic migration west.86

Jenkins returned to Nauvoo in March of 1846 with the intention of moving his family. Continued threats from those hostile to the remaining Saints at Nauvoo may have prompted the family to relocate.87 Jenkins purchased a flatboat with another family and loaded the family’s belongings onto the apparatus. The Salisburys had intended to go to St. Louis, but because of a set of unfortunate circumstances, the journey was discontinued. While en route, a large steamboat collided with their smaller flatboat near Alexandria, Missouri, damaging the outfit and spilling the family’s belongings into the river. Bystanders on the river bank helped the family swim to shore and gather what possessions they could, but the losses of the accident were devastating. Following the mishap, Jenkins desired to repair the boat and continue on to St. Louis, but Katharine insisted that that would be her “last ride on that scow.”88

The family remained in the vicinity for a time, and Jenkins obtained work in providing cordwood for the river steamboats. But with the journey’s disruption, things had gone from bad to worse for the struggling family. The steamboat workers and their families soon suffered an epidemic of the “shaking ague,” and the entire Salisbury family contracted the illness. Katharine
became so ill that local authorities hired a woman to care for her children.89
To add to their difficulties, the Salisburys’ youngest daughter, Emma, died of
undernourishment and disease on 10 October 1846 and was buried in the
river bottoms.90

On the local physician’s recommendation, the family moved from the
disease-infested area to Warsaw, Illinois. The family’s condition was such
that they were without blankets or bedding of any kind, possessing only the
clothes on their backs. Needing assistance, Jenkins wrote to Donk
Alexander, an old acquaintance living in McDonough County, Illinois, to
come and help them relocate. Alexander brought wagon teams to assist in
transporting the family and their belongings—but on arriving and viewing
the destitute circumstances of the family, he wept in pity.91

The family migrated with Alexander to McDonough County, residing
there for a short time before settling in nearby Webster, Illinois.92 Here the
Salisburys moved into one of the abandoned homes left by Latter-day Saints
who had been driven out a few years before. Once again, Jenkins went to
work as a blacksmith, and some of the older children began to obtain work
in neighboring communities. Just as things were beginning to prosper,
Jenkins caught typhoid fever and died on 28 October 1853. It was a devas-
tating blow to the family, particularly during a time when work was scarce
and pay was low.93

Katharine wrote to Jenkins’ family in New York, informing them of his
death, and found comfort in corresponding with a sister-in-law, Samantha
Arnold. Katharine solicited funds from her Salisbury relatives to erect an
appropriate grave marker in remembrance of her husband.94 In return,
Arnold requested a lock of Jenkins’ hair, noting that she had not seen her
brother since their youth. She also revealed her view of her brother’s char-
acter, stating, “I suppose Jenkins has been very unsteady for the most part of
the time through life. which has made it very hard for you and your chil-
dren.”95 This statement was remarkably accurate for someone who had not
seen her brother in more than twenty years, and it must have validated
Katharine’s feelings. It had been an unstable and, at times, lonely relation-
ship. Yet Katharine continually stood by and supported her husband until his
death. In later years, she spoke respectfully of the memory of her mate.

Widowhood and Continued Persecution

By 1855, Katharine had moved her family to Fountain Green, Illinois,
several miles east of Webster. With the exception of a few years, Katharine
would live in this area for the next forty-five years. Initially, Katharine’s sis-
ter Lucy and her family lived in the same area of Fountain Green. However,
wanting to distance themselves from the persecution in Hancock County and finding better economic prospects, Lucy and Arthur Millikin moved to Colchester, Illinois. The eldest Smith sister, Sophronia (also a widow), was already residing with her daughter in that area. Why Katharine did not follow her two sisters farther east where she could have avoided the incessant persecution common to Mormons who remained in Hancock County remains unknown. Settlers in Webster and Fountain Green had earlier driven the Mormons from their two towns and had organized a militia that marched to Carthage at the time of the martyrdom. Her willingness to stay in the area may have been because Fountain Green’s residents were northerners, which was appealing to Katharine. By the late 1850s, the nation was on the verge of civil war, and Katharine may have felt secure in being surrounded by those who shared her same political ideology. Still, by remaining among those who held hostilities toward the Saints, Katharine exposed herself and children to continued harassment.

Recognizing her precarious situation, Katharine sought security in marriage. On 3 May 1857, Katharine married Joseph Younger at Fountain Green. Younger had joined the Church in 1832 and had resided at Nauvoo during the Saints’ stay in Illinois. Before their marriage, Younger had been excommunicated from the Church on 13 December 1845. From there he had affiliated with James J. Strang’s group in Wisconsin and later with Charles B. Thompson in Cincinnati, Ohio. The paths of Younger and William Smith likely crossed during this time period, and Smith may have introduced Younger to his sister. We do know that the union was brief; surviving sources and family tradition indicate that the marriage lasted only a few months. By 1859, Joseph Younger had moved to Iowa and united with the Reorganized Church; and by 1860, Katharine was once again using the surname of Salisbury.

With the failure of her marriage, Katharine again found herself as the lone breadwinner. Because of challenging economic times, she looked to others for support. Katharine’s sister, Sophronia, offered her assistance by raising Katharine’s third-born son, Don Carlos. Sophronia provided a quality education for Don Carlos, sending him to both common, and later cadet, schools. Katharine’s eldest son, Solomon, now mature enough to launch out on his own, hired out to work for neighbors in both Hancock and McDonough Counties. Daughter Lucy married Samuel Duke in 1848 and lived in close proximity to the family for the rest of her life. That left only Alvin and Frederick for Katharine to care for.

Economic hardship was not the only challenge the family faced. The Salisburys continued to experience difficulties because of their ties to Mormonism. The youngest Salisbury, Frederick, was not allowed to associate
with other children his age. He recounted, “I am sorry to say that in my boyhood I had not obtained an Education on the account of persecution[.] They would take my Dinner bucket and throw It away So I had no dinner to Eat[,] hiss at me[,] and say your mother was a sister of old Joe Smith the Mormon Prophet.”102 The other children experienced similar incidents. Solomon remembered for the rest of his life the persecution associated with this time period. Said he, “I received the most ill treatment; there I was called a Mormon, boycotted, abused, slandered. I received no invitations to parties of any kind. There were no young folks that . . . would invite me to their parties or have anything to do with me. I was an outcast.”103

As the children matured, such persecution continued. Solomon further recounted that he had worked for men whose wives would not allow him to eat supper in their houses because of his connection to the Prophet Joseph Smith. In another instance, when Solomon was courting a young woman, the girl’s father broke off the relationship. The young woman told Solomon, “Father says you are a Mormon and he does not want anything to do with you.” The pain associated with this experience remained with Solomon for life.104

Such persecution continued into the 1870s when a neighbor, Thomas Duff, resorted to violence on two of the Salisbury children. As Frederick and Lucy were walking home one evening, Duff and his brothers began firing shots at the two. Attempting to defend himself and his sister, Frederick emptied his pistol in return. In the skirmish, one bullet grazed Frederick’s neck and another passed through Lucy’s hair, singeing her scalp. The distraught
family sought recourse through appropriate legal channels. Katharine brought charges against the Duffs, but they were eventually acquitted of any wrongdoing. Hostile feelings between the neighbors remained.

These resentments came to fruition in the summer of 1880 when Katharine’s second-born son, Alvin, and Thomas Duff attended a political rally at Fountain Green’s Presbyterian Church house. After the two exchanged sharp words, Alvin attempted to strike Duff. Duff pulled out a knife and stabbed Salisbury in the chest and then in his upper arm. As Alvin staggered backwards, Duff thrust the knife deep into his forehead and fled from the scene. Alvin stayed conscious only long enough to identify his assailant, dying the following day. Although Duff was captured, tried, and convicted, Katharine and her family felt they had once again been the victim of prejudice and hostility. Katharine suffered intensively from the loss of her son. Little did she know that this would be her final incident with such hostilities; following the death of her son, animosities toward her family subsided.

The LDS Church and Smith Relatives in the West

During the late 1850s, Katharine rekindled relationships with her Smith relatives who had gone west a decade earlier. As early as 1856, relatives from the West began to visit the three sisters of the Prophet, who still resided in Illinois. George A., Samuel H. B., John, and Joseph F. Smith all visited Katharine between the late 1850s and early 1870s. They frequently stayed with the Salisburys as they went to and from their mission assignments during this time period. Katharine’s nephews attempted to try and get the family to gather to Salt Lake City. In 1857, Samuel H. B. Smith felt strongly that Katharine would come west the following year, as “she has a desire to be amongst the Saints ever more.” Again in 1860, Samuel similarly indicated that he had nearly converted his relatives in Illinois. But his desire would remain unfulfilled.

Katharine’s decision to stay in the Midwest was due, in part, to the fact that her family and extended family were becoming increasingly established in Illinois. She remained close to her sisters throughout her life and enjoyed a close relationship with her sister-in-law, Emma Hale Smith Bidamon; and Katharine’s children had begun to marry and settle in the area. A second reason she remained in Illinois had to do with her views related to leadership of the Church. Although Katharine did not initially disagree with doctrines, such as polygamy, adhered to by the Saints in the West, she disagreed with Brigham Young’s assuming leadership of the Church. The three Smith sisters felt similarly to their brother William—namely, that the right to preside
belonged to the Smith family. These factors appear to have contributed significantly to Katharine’s decision to stay in Illinois.

Nevertheless, Katharine’s relationships with her nephews and cousin, George A. Smith, remained close. Additionally, she was warm toward other Latter-day Saint missionaries, who often desired to see and visit with members of the Prophet’s family. She wished them success in their labors and requested that the elders pray with her before departing. Katharine also made sure she bore her testimony of her brother’s prophetic calling, something she would do the rest of her life. Seeing the young elders traveling to preach the gospel reminded her of “early days when her Brothers used to go in the same way to preach the Gospel.”

Katharine felt comfortable enough with her Smith relatives in the West that she called on the Church for assistance. On 29 April 1871, she wrote to Brigham Young requesting $200 to help her build a home in northeast Fountain Green. Brigham Young wrote back, sending the desired amount of money and expressing his concern for the Prophet’s family who remained in Illinois. Katharine responded by expressing her appreciation for the gift, further recounting that this experience had “Created a great desire within me and Some of my family to come out there and make you all a visit[,] I would like very much to See you all once more before we depart this life. May the blessings of heaven rest upon you and all the church.”

It is interesting to note that Katharine included those in the West in full fellowship. She continued to correspond with Brigham Young and George A. Smith over the next few years, and they sent her additional hundreds to finish her home and consolidate her land. For a time, President Young’s generosity seemed to heal their former rift. Katharine wrote to Young: “My gratitude to you is unbounded and i Shall ever pray for blessing[s] to rest upon your venerable head. . . . I will send you my likeness . . . and wish you would send me yours in return that i may look uppon with thankfullness for the great help you have rendered to me in the hour of my greates[t] need.”

George A. Smith, who had delivered this last sum of money to Katharine, commented on her living situation and her gratitude for receiving such a gift from President Young: “Catherine is living on the place, that you furnished her means to purchase—and is aparently the happiest Woman I have seen on the journey[,] her place is a piece of Timber land, which your last bounty enables her to increase to twenty acres. And as in all her live she has never been able to enjoy a home of her own for a single hour, her grati- tude to you seems unbounded.”

Following Brigham Young’s death, Katharine continued to correspond with LDS Church leaders in the West, and these leaders continued to provide financial assistance for Katharine in her poverty.
The Salisburys and the Reorganized Church

By the 1860s, Katharine's older children were moving into adulthood, and she became increasingly concerned over her children's lack of interest in religion. From childhood, Katharine had been taught the importance of seeking after her salvation. Because she was now a single parent, the burden for similarly instructing her children in religious matters devolved upon her. She lamented in a letter in 1865 her desire to have her sons engaged in missionary service rather than in seeking after gold. Perhaps her nephews, now affiliated with the RLDS Church, could have made a difference in the direction of her sons' lives, but she also faulted them for not taking a greater interest in her family. Concerning Joseph Smith III and his brothers, Katharine remarked, "We do not See nor hear from them much often. . . . Since they Have ben en[n]gaged in their church affairs they have entirely forsaken us[,] they manife[s]: no interest in our eternal wellfare they have never invited us to take a helping hand with them."119

It would be another seven years (thirteen years after the Reorganized Church was officially organized) before any of the Salisburys affiliated with the RLDS Church. Katharine's son Solomon was the first of her children to become interested in religion and may have been the impetus for the three Smith sisters eventually uniting with the RLDS Church. As a result of a dramatic conversion experience in October 1872, Solomon was baptized a member of the Reorganized Church at that time.121 Several months later, Joseph Smith III preached for the first time in the areas of Colchester and Fountain Green, Illinois. Katharine and her sisters attended the meetings with their children, where the subject of polygamy was a central topic of discussion.122 By the following summer, all the Smith sisters had united with the Reorganized Church. Katharine's two sisters were received on their original baptism in April 1873, the custom for those baptized during the Prophet's lifetime.123 Katharine, desiring to renew her covenant, was rebaptized and confirmed by Joseph Smith III on 17 June 1873 at Colchester, Illinois.124 In time, Katharine adopted Joseph III's platform on polygamy, remaining unaware of her brother's involvement with the practice.125 Joseph III's rhetoric about the doctrine originating with Brigham Young, including the perception that Young posthumously shifted responsibility for the doctrine's authorship to Joseph Smith, rekindled Katharine's adverse feelings toward President Young.126

In April 1873, Solomon was made president of the newly organized Pilot Grove Branch of the Reorganized Church, which the Salisburys attended over the next few decades. Still, activity in the church was infrequent dur-
ing the 1870s and early 1880s. The Pilot Grove Branch eventually disbanded because of “lack of interest and scarcity of members.” It was not until the mid-1880s that Katharine sought increased involvement with the Reorganized Church and began to travel to general conferences held in April of each year. In between conferences, she longed for more contact from church leadership and oftentimes requested leaders to come to Fountain Green and surrounding areas. When it was not forthcoming, Katharine frequently felt a lack of connection to church leadership and wrote letters to the *Saints’ Herald*, in which she complained of unfulfilled promises to preach in her area that had been made to her by RLDS Church leaders.

All of that changed by the late 1880s and early 1890s, when RLDS Church members began to look to Katharine as a living link between the days of organization and the church of their day. Church leaders frequently requested that Katharine sit on the platform with them at such meetings, an uncommon request for a woman of her day. She enjoyed sharing her first-hand experiences and testimony with church members who were eager to hear her reminiscences. Katharine also wrote letters to the *Saints’ Herald*, describing her recollections of early events of the Restoration, which were frequently published in that paper. She remained an active participant in the Reorganized Church until the time of her death.

**Katharine’s Final Years**

As the last survivor of the Smith family, Katharine lived to see the dawning of the twentieth century. Hers was a life of poverty and hardships that did not end with the martyrdom of her elder brothers. A woman of considerable talents, Katharine demonstrated an amazing sense of resiliency in the face of nearly insurmountable obstacles. Life had been difficult for the younger sister of the Prophet. Not only was she the recipient of the inordinate persecution common to all the Smith family but also many individual and family trials plagued her throughout her extended life.

Toward the end of her life, the Salisbury family held a family reunion each year on Katharine’s front lawn in Fountain Green. Before long, however, word spread concerning the reunions, and members of the RLDS Church, newspaper reporters, and dignitaries began to attend, seeking her recollections of early events of the Restoration and of Hancock County history. She lived to fulfill the promise made by her father sixty years earlier that she would “live to a good old age,” as well as live to see “days of joy and consolation.” Truly, her final years were her best. She enjoyed the comfort of
living in a home of her own, surrounded by her posterity who settled from one end of Hancock County, Illinois, to the other. Although she suffered from breast cancer toward the end of her life, she was able to attend to her household chores within twenty-four hours of her death—a testament to her strength. She passed away of pneumonia on 2 February 1900.132

With time, Katharine had overcome the persecution that had been her constant companion throughout life. At last, she earned the respect of those among whom she had long resided. Two tributes will suffice. The first, from Warren H. Orr, specifically directed to Solomon, certainly speaks to the whole Salisbury family: “Your life exemplifies the truth of the sometimes doubted statement that right will prevail in the end. When one reads of your early hardships and the persecutions and impositions you suffered at the hands of ignorance and poison-minded intolerance, and yet sees that you had courage enough to stand up and tolerate the weaknesses of others and finally conquer their love and respect by your own good living, it gives one greater hope for the future.”133

The second, from Illinois Senator Orville F. Berry, reads: “There resided in this county, until her death, Catherine Smith Salisbury, sister of the prophet. The writer knew her personally, has been in her house many times, and has grown up from boyhood days with her sons and grandsons, and the world would be wonderfully well off if all women were as good as Catherine Smith Salisbury.”134
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